

Carbenoid-mediated nucleophilic “hydrolysis” of 2-(dichloromethylidene)-1,1,3,3-tetramethylindane with DMSO participation, affording access to one-sidedly overcrowded ketone and bromoalkene descendants[§]

Rudolf Knorr*, Thomas Menke, Johannes Freudenreich and Claudio Pires

Full Research Paper

Open Access

Address:
Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München,
Butenandtstrasse 5–13 (Haus F), 81377 München, Germany

Email:
Rudolf Knorr* - rhk@cup.uni-muenchen.de

* Corresponding author

Keywords:
brominative deoxygenation; carbenoid; DMSO; nucleophilic vinylic
substitution; steric hindrance

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2014**, *10*, 307–315.
doi:10.3762/bjoc.10.28

Received: 10 October 2013
Accepted: 03 January 2014
Published: 31 January 2014

[§]Sterically congested molecules, 27. For Part 26 see [1].

Associate Editor: J. A. Murphy

© 2014 Knorr et al; licensee Beilstein-Institut.
License and terms: see end of document.

Abstract


2-(Dichloromethylidene)-1,1,3,3-tetramethylindane was “hydrolyzed” by solid KOH in DMSO as the solvent at ≥ 100 °C through an initial chlorine particle transfer to give a Cl₂K-carbenoid. This short-lived intermediate disclosed its occurrence through a reversible proton transfer which competed with an oxygen transfer from DMSO that created dimethyl sulfide. The presumably resultant transitory ketene incorporated KOH to afford the potassium salt of 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2-carboxylic acid (the product of a formal hydrolysis). The lithium salt of this key acid is able to acylate aryllithium compounds, furnishing one-sidedly overcrowded ketones along with the corresponding tertiary alcohols. The latter side-products (ca. 10%) were formed against a substantially increasing repulsive resistance, as testified through the diminished rotational mobility of their aryl groups. As a less troublesome further side-product, the dianion of the above key acid was recognized through carboxylation which afforded 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2,2-dicarboxylic acid. Brominative deoxygenation of the ketones furnished two one-sidedly overcrowded bromoalkenes. Some presently relevant properties of the above Cl₂K-carbenoid are provided in Supporting Information File 1.

Introduction

The 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2-yl(idene) fragments shown in the hydrocarbon parts of formulae **4–8** (Scheme 1) are preferable to the corresponding acyclic di-*tert*-butylmethylidene

moiety (*t*-Bu₂C in **1–3**) as the shielding substituent in static and dynamic model systems for several reasons. (i) With respect to repulsive strain, an attempted protonation of the alkoxide **2**

immediately after its generation [2] at -70°C failed to provide **1**, because **2** cyclized too rapidly with formation of the chlorooxirane **3**. On the other hand, the somewhat alleviated internal repulsion in alkoxide **4** allowed it to be trapped by protonation below -10°C before the cyclization could interfere [3], so that the resultant alcohol **5** could be isolated (crude yield 90% from 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2-one) and dehydrated to give 2-(dichloromethylidene)-1,1,3,3-tetramethylindane (**6**) as the only product (97% yield). (ii) In X-ray diffraction analyses [4–9], the 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2-ylidene parts turned out to be rather rigid, except for an occasional folding along the C-1/C-3 axis, and they did not exhibit the structural disorder problems and vexing angular flexibility which can arise with the *t*-Bu₂C groups exemplified in Scheme 1. (iii) Depending on the substituents at the exocyclic C- α atom in **7**, all four methyl groups in the 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2-ylidene parts [10] and in their truncated analogue 1,1,3,3-tetramethylcyclopent-2-ylidene [11] may be nonequivalent and provide useful stereochemical and stereodynamic NMR information that would not be available from models containing the *t*-Bu₂C moiety with free rotation about the *t*-Bu–C bonds. (iv) Vinylic nucleophilic substitution ($\text{S}_{\text{N}}\text{V}$) of the chloride anion from **6** by even a very strong nucleophile R–Mt (Mt = alkali metal) to give **7** may appear problematic, because the first intermediate **9** expected with the usual ARE (addition–rotation–elimination) [12] mechanism would suffer from poor stabilization of the negative charge at C-2 which is flanked by two *tert*-alkyl groups.



Scheme 1: 1,1,3,3-Tetramethylindane derivatives are preferable.


Instead, the substitution products **7** were obtained from **6** in THF via the cyclic Li,Cl-carbenoid **8** at room temperature (rt) by the carbenoid chain mechanism [3], as indicated in the bottom line of Scheme 1. These $\text{S}_{\text{N}}\text{V}$ reactions proceed properly because **8** has a reduced (albeit not vanishing) inclination toward cycloalkyne formation through the Fritsch–Buttenberg–Wiechell (FBW) rearrangement [13], whereas $\text{S}_{\text{N}}\text{V}$ reactions of acyclic Mt,Hal-carbenoids often have to compete with FBW processes forming acyclic alkynes.

The α,α -dibromo analogue of **6** (available [14] from **6** in two steps) was found to undergo corresponding but more intricate carbenoid chain reactions. Therefore, it was planned to prepare α -bromo analogues of **7** from related ketones which should be accessible via the carboxylic acid **10** to be expected from a hydrolysis of **6**.

Results and Discussion

“Hydrolysis” of the α,α -dichloroalkene **6**


The carboxylic acid **10** (Scheme 2) would normally (and more expediently than before [15]) be accessible through a simple hydrolysis reaction [16,17] of **6** with concentrated (80–100%) sulfuric acid. Our in situ ^1H NMR spectra showed that **6** was insoluble in D_2SO_4 (97%) at rt and that two promising, equally intense methyl singlet signals, as expected for **10**, appeared after seven hours at 100°C . However, the usual (Et_2O /water) work-up procedure did not afford any (acidic or nonacidic) organic product, which suggests that **6** or **10** were converted to water-soluble, unserviceable arenesulfonic acids that disappeared with the aqueous phases.



Scheme 2: “Hydrolysis” of **6**, and the KOR/dimsyl-K (**11**) system.

Without electron-withdrawing substituents in both **6** and the prospective ARE [12] intermediate **9**, several strongly caustic methods of hydrolysis with KOH failed to consume **6** in diethylene glycol [18] (11 hours at 135°C), in triglyme (six hours, 150°C), in HMPA [tris(dimethylamino)phosphinoxide, five hours at 150°C], and in acetonitrile (23 hours, 70°C).

Reisolation of pure **6** from hot formic acid (44 hours, 90 °C) or from dimethyl sulfoxide solution (DMSO, five hours at 156 °C without a base) showed that C–Cl bond heterolysis (vinyllic S_N1 reaction) did not occur in these polar solvents. In DMSO with potassium *tert*-butoxide (KO*t*-Bu, four equiv, >22 hours at 140 °C) or better with solid KOH (at least 29 equiv, ≥ six hours at 100 °C or 60 min at 154 °C), however, **6** was slowly transformed into **10**. This may be reminiscent of a 10⁹-fold increased kinetic basicity [19,20] of KOCH₃ in DMSO as a solvent. Due to the strongly enhanced thermodynamic basicity of solid KOH in DMSO [21–23], this system will provide and maintain a small concentration of the potassium salt **11** of DMSO (“dmsyl-K”, Scheme 2). On the other hand, a more special involvement of DMSO as an oxidant in the present “hydrolysis” of **6** became evident when pure dimethyl sulfide (Me₂S, boiling point 37 °C) distilled from the reaction vessel into a cold trap during such a preparation of **10**. In situ ¹H NMR spectra revealed the obligatory formation of ca. one equivalent of Me₂S (δ_H = 2.06 ppm). Small portions of Me₂S stemmed from the slow destruction of DMSO by **11**, as confirmed in a faster run with **11** alone in DMSO during four hours at 150 °C, and presumably also from generation of the side-product potassium formate as formulated further below. Clearly, Me₂S could not have been formed from **6** in a simple hydrolytic ARE [12] process with an intermediate such as **9** as a precursor of **10**. Instead, we propose a carbenoid pathway in Scheme 3 and justify the specified steps in the sequel.



In a Cl/K interchange reaction that generates the Cl,K-carbenoid **12**, the transfer of a chlorine particle from **6** to KOH cannot be excluded at the outset in view of the early protocols [24–27] which described the application of dry NaOEt or KO*t*-Bu at ca. 190 °C for converting aryl₂C=CCl₂ into aryl₂CH–CO₂H along with aryl–C≡C–aryl (the latter in a Fritsch–Buttenberg–Wiechell (FBW) rearrangement [13]). However, dmsyl-K (**11**) in DMSO without KOH consumed **6** immediately already at rt, albeit without formation of the acid **10**. This suggests KOH to be essential for creating product **10**; it also suggests that KOH might be a poor competitor of **11** in a nucleophilic attack on **6**, perhaps due partially to the low solubility (ca. 0.001 M [21]) of KOH in DMSO. Granting preference to the chlorine transfer from **6** to **11**, the byproduct **13** of **12** would hardly be traceable: even if **13** reacted with **11**, for example, the resultant water-soluble [28] bis(sulfoxide) **16** might get lost in the usual procedure of aqueous work-up. As an important confirmation of the Cl,K-carbenoid **12**, however, its conjugated CH-acid **14** [29] was observed during the early period of a running transformation and vanished slowly with regeneration of **12**. This interpretation was corroborated through independent generations of **12** from **14**: Using suspensions of KO*t*-Bu as the base in warm THF or cyclohexane for deproton-


ating **14**, the generated **12** was found [30] to undergo expansion (FBW) of its five-membered ring rather than the intended formation of the acid **10**. As two demonstrations that FBW ring expansion is not an inevitable fate of bona-fide **12**, we deprotonated **14** also with the freely soluble base KN(SiMe₃)₂ (in place of the hardly soluble KO*t*-Bu) in *t*-BuOMe or in THF as the solvents and observed [30] in situ merely the nonexpanded enamine (the expected S_NV product). Alternatively, we generated **12** from the dichloroalkene **6** with a THF solution of benzyl potassium, finding only the carbenoid chain (S_NV) product and its descendants but again no ring expansion [30]. Thus, **12** behaves like a normal unsaturated carbenoid whose S_NV reaction requires a more abundant, soluble nucleophile than solid KO*t*-Bu (or, by analogy, solid KOH). These observations pointed to a possible S_NV reaction of **12** with DMSO and/or dmsyl-K (**11**), as proposed in Scheme 3 and later on as follows.

In a rapid oxygen-transfer reaction that is known [31,32] for saturated carbenes or carbenoids, **12** will attack the solvent DMSO to generate the K,O-carbenoid **15** which decays in an E1cb-like expulsion of the observed Me₂S. The resultant, still unknown di-*tert*-alkyl ketene **18** appears to have only limited options in this milieu: Taking *t*-Bu₂C=C=O [2] as a model of

the slightly less congested ketene **18**, a Pummerer-type acylation of DMSO by **18** should require the assistance of a carboxylic acid [33]; this is excluded in our strongly basic system. On the other hand, KOH consumed $t\text{-Bu}_2\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{O}$ at rt readily [34]; thus, the certainly faster addition of KOH to **18** can give the observed (in situ ^1H NMR) potassium salt **17** of **10**. Of course, $[2\text{-D}]\textbf{17}$ was detected and $[2\text{-D}]\textbf{10}$ isolated [30] (with ca. 80% D, for example) from runs of **6** with KOH in $[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$ due to the H/D interchange reaction affording KOD; a separate experiment established that $[2\text{-D}]\textbf{17}$ was not formed in a subsequent step from unlabeled **17** with **11** in $[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$ in the course of 11 hours at 120 °C. In the outlined mechanism, the formal “hydrolysis” of **6** to produce the acid **10** can be seen to consist of an initial reduction of **6** generating the carbenoid **12**, followed by the oxidation of **12** by DMSO that affords the precursors of **10**.


Although the isolated yield of acid **10** never exceeded 60%, our preparation is convenient because **10** was obtained as the only acid and in a suitably clean state, especially when the acidified alkaline layers of aqueous work-up were extracted with pentane (in place of Et_2O) which can more efficiently be cleaned from the last traces of DMSO through washing with water. The procedure can also be made colleague-friendly by an early deodorizing of the Me_2S contamination through a short treatment of the aqueous solution of **17** with NaOCl (but not KMnO_4), to be terminated soon through the addition of NaHSO_3 . Gratifyingly, all side-products did not contaminate the acid **10** because they assembled in the nonacidic fraction as described in the sequel.

The structure of the main side-product **23** (Scheme 4) suggests that it might result from a base-catalyzed condensation of DMSO with 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2-one (**24**) [35]; this assumption seemed confirmed through the isolation of **23** (57%) from **24** with KOH (28 equiv) in DMSO after 16 hours at 100 °C. However, the idea that **24** might have been generated from **6** via **20** (and the dichloro alcohol **5**) had to be dismissed: Although an authentic [3] sample of **5** was quickly consumed under the above conditions of hydrolyzing **6**, it furnished none of **24**, **23** or **10**. Nevertheless, **24** accumulated in the last period of transforming **6** into **10** (>15 hours at or above 100 °C); such a late appearance of **24** may be caused by a slowly emerging precursor (other than **5**). While **24** obviously did not hasten to form **23** with DMSO, an alternative route from **6** with **11** to **23** appears reasonable: the first intermediate **19** would isomerize to **22** and then dissociate into KCHCl_2 (**21**) and product **23**. As a Cl,K -carbenoid, **21** will fall a victim to the above-mentioned type of oxygen-transfer reaction [31,32] with DMSO, via **25** for example, to furnish Me_2S and potassium formate (**26**) which was actually detected ($\delta_{\text{H}} = 8.54$ ppm) in situ.



The carbenoid chain [3] option has not yet been addressed in the above proposals, because the results outlined in Scheme 3 and Scheme 4 were not compatible with that variant. However, a further side-product **33** was encountered whose structure may reasonably be explained using the carbenoid chain mechanism in the following manner. As a competitor of the Me_2S -producing decay of the K,O -carbenoid **15** to give **18** (Scheme 5), a chlorine particle transfer from **6** to **15** will close a carbenoid chain cycle and give rise to the chain carrier **12** together with the primary product **28**. In analogy with the base-induced O–S bond cleavage during the Pummerer acylation with $t\text{-Bu}_2\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{O}$ [36], a base-induced decay of **28** can be expected to generate the 2-thioniapropene **29** along with the α -chloroenolate **30** that may produce the ketene **18** (or the unknown acyl chloride) and finally (as in Scheme 3) the precursor **17** of acid **10**. This alternative route to acid **10** does not create Me_2S and may be a minor contribution, therefore. The expelled cation **29** may be consumed by the liberated chloride anion to give $\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{S}-\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ (**32**) or by dimethyl-K (**11**) or by formation of **33** along the following lines (Scheme 5).

In competition with the abundant DMSO to give **15**, dimethyl-K (**11**) may displace a chloride anion from the Cl,K -carbenoid **12** to generate the vinylpotassium derivative **27**. Proton transfer




steps should isomerize **27** into the energetically more stable allyl anion derivative **31**. Either **29** or **32** may intercept **31** with formation of the side-product **33**, whose structure was recognized through its NMR data [30] as follows. The precursor **31** of **33** cannot have reacted at its C- β center, since this would have led to an isomer of **33** with only one proton-bearing olefinic ^{13}C center (C- α), whereas two olefinic CH centers (C- α and C- β) were detected in **33** while its C-2 atom was found to be aliphatic rather than olefinic. Although **33** is chiral, its only CH_2 signal exhibits merely weak line-broadening instead of the expected AB splitting pattern; this indicated the center of chirality (sulfur) to be rather distant from CH_2 . The NOESY correlation of this quasi-singlet CH_2 signal with the SCH_3 resonance established the presence of a $\text{CH}_2\text{--S--CH}_3$ instead of a $\text{CH}_2\text{--S(O)--CH}_3$ fragment. The $=\text{CH--S(O)--CH}_3$ group and its proximity to the CH_2 protons were recognized through the NOESY correlations of the olefinic β -proton. This completes the mechanistic considerations for the unusual “hydrolysis”

reaction of the unactivated α,α -dichloroalkene **6** and its side-products.

Toward one-sidedly overcrowded ketone and bromoalkene descendants

The α,α -(di-*tert*-alkyl)methyl aryl ketones **38** (Scheme 6) would normally be considered to be accessible through Friedel–Crafts acylation of arenes by the acid chloride of **10**. This possibility was repudiated, however, because the similar acyl chloride *t*-Bu₂CH–CO–Cl did not acylate benzene [37,38]. Instead, the technique [39] of acylating an aryllithium compound such as **34** by a lithium carboxylate such as **36** to form **35** proved effective



in Et₂O as the solvent, in spite of a dissuasive message [40] that was based on the failure with 2,6-dimethylbenzoic acid at ice temperature. Admittedly, our protocol requires prolonged stirring in Et₂O at or above rt due to steric shielding in **36**, which enabled at least two yield-reducing side-reactions to consume the aryllithium reagents **34a,b** to some extent, as delineated below. Therefore, acid **10** was treated with an excess of **34a,b** which were generated from aryl bromides, using either 2.5 equivalents thereof together with *tert*-butyllithium (*t*-BuLi, five equiv) or four equivalents of both aryl bromide and *n*-BuLi. The preformed sodium carboxylate of **10** in place of **36** was apparently too insoluble in Et₂O to react with **34**.

The primary products **35** tend to eliminate Li₂O slowly with formation of ketones **38** in the presence of aryllithium reagents **34** which will rapidly add to **38**, creating the tertiary alcohols **39**. The unwanted side-products **39** might also arise during simple aqueous work-up where hydrolytic ketone formation from primary products such as **35** was often [40,41] able to compete with the fast protolysis of residual aryllithium reagents. To avoid this suspected mischief, we applied nonaqueous quenching of residual **34**, using either carboxylation by solid CO₂ or the commended treatments with aniline [41] as the proton donor or with Me₃SiCl [40] prior to the aqueous work-up. However, none of these three precautionary measures improved the **38/39** ratios (ca. 9:1 after ca. 20 hours at rt) significantly, which means that the side-products **39** arose essentially before the work-up procedure. Actually, the unwelcome portions of **39** increased in parallel with extending reaction periods and made especially the isolation of pure ketone **38b** somewhat tedious. (An alternative route [30] can provide **38b** without side-products.) The overcrowded nature of **39a** and **39b** became evident [30] through NMR line broadening effects as caused by impeded rotations about the C- α /C-*ipso* single bonds.

As a second side-reaction, deprotonation of 2-H in **36** by **34** competed with the retarded acylation of **34**, so that the dianion **37** of acid **10** was generated and contributed to the recovery of **10** on aqueous work-up. The intermediacy of **37** was recognized through quenching either with D₂O to afford [2-D]**10** or with solid CO₂ and subsequent isolation of the highly symmetric diacid **40** (only seven ¹³C NMR signals). The constitution of **40** followed from its ready decarboxylation in CDCl₃ solution at rt to regenerate acid **10** within four days.

Conversion of the ketone **38a** into bromoalkene **42a** through brominative deoxygenation [42,43] with tribromide **41** was slow in hot chloroform but almost complete within 17 hours at 80 °C in 1,2-dichloroethane as the solvent. The purification of **42a** by simple recrystallization was easy, unless the employed

ketone **38a** was contaminated with its side-product **39a** whose *p*-methyl groups were brominated by reagent **41** with formation of **43** [30]. The pure ketone **38b** and reagent **41** furnished the known [44,45] bromoalkene **42b** as the only product [30,46].

Conclusion

The observed features of the “hydrolysis” reaction of the unactivated α,α -dichloroalkene **6** with solid KOH in DMSO are incompatible with the key intermediate **9** of the ARE [12] mechanism. Instead, a Cl,K-carbenoid **12** (indicated by the observation of its reversible protonation) is compatible and appropriate as the first intermediate in the route from **6** to acid **10**. This formal “hydrolysis” of **6** makes use of the impressively enhanced electrophilicity of “unsaturated” carbenoids [13] such as **12**, which property enables **12** to react with the moderately nucleophilic but abundant solvent DMSO that brings along the possibility of an oxygen transfer: The necessary cleavage of the O–S bond in the resultant K,O-carbenoid **15** may occur either directly (the Me₂S producing pathway in Scheme 3) or upon formation of the carbenoid *chain* product **28** (Scheme 5). Obviously, DMSO is a unique choice for oxidizing **12** in both the chain and the nonchain mechanistic options. The nonoxidizing nucleophiles PhCH₂K and KN(SiMe₃)₂ can convert **12** to the expected nonacidic S_NV products, whereas **12** prefers to expand its five-membered ring if a suitable nucleophile is not available [30].

The easily isolated and purified acid **10** is able to transfer its bulky di-*tert*-alkylacetyl body onto a sufficiently reactive nucleophile. The first nucleophilic attack is already sterically impeded, as suggested by its ability to compete with the generation of dianion **37** of acid **10** (Scheme 6). Nevertheless, such one-sided shielding in the product ketone does not prevent the incorporation of a second nucleophile, affording a tertiary alcohol with a substantially impeded internal mobility. Final brominative deoxygenation of the ketones can yield bromoalkenes as the only products with moderately decreased speed.

Experimental

1,1,3,3-Tetramethylindan-2-carboxylic acid (10): The following procedure should be carried out in an efficient hood and/or with a cold trap that can collect and retain malodorous volatile products such as Me₂S. The dichloroalkene **6** (2.80 g, 11.0 mmol), dimethyl sulfoxide (45 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar were placed in a round-bottomed flask carrying either a wide-bore connection to a cooled trap or a T-shaped glass-tube with a bubbler and gas inlet. This suspension was stirred at 60 °C until **6** was completely dissolved. Freshly pulverized KOH (18.0 g, 321 mmol) was added and the brown mixture stirred at 100 °C under inert-gas cover for at least six hours,

then cooled to rt, poured into distilled water (250 mL), and shaken with Et₂O (3 × 50 mL). The malodorous aqueous layer containing the potassium salt **17** was treated batchwise with NaOCl solution in an amount that sufficed for showing a positive potassium iodide/starch test for 30 min, at which time this residual NaOCl was forthwith destroyed by solid NaHSO₃ that had to be added until the KI/starch test became negative. (KMnO₄ in place of NaOCl was found to decompose **17**.) The solution was thoroughly stirred with charcoal, then filtered, cooled in ice, and acidified with concd. hydrochloric acid. The precipitated acid **10** was extracted with Et₂O or (better) pentane (3 × 70 mL). These combined extracts were repeatedly washed with distilled water to remove traces of DMSO, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to leave the almost pure acid **10** as a white powder (1.31 g, 54%) with mp 188–190 °C (ref [15]: 189–190.5 °C); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.41 (s, 6H, 1-/3-CH₃ syn to CO₂H), 1.52 (s, 6H, 1-/3-CH₃ anti to CO₂H), 2.91 (s, 1H, 2-H), 7.16 (AA' part of an AA'BB' system, 2H, 4-/7-H), 7.24 (BB' part, 2H, 5-/6-H) ppm, assigned through the NOESY correlations 2-H ↔ anti-CH₃ ↔ syn-CH₃ ↔ 4-/7-H ↔ anti-CH₃; ¹H NMR ([D₆]acetone, 400 MHz) δ 1.35, 1.48, 2.85, 7.12, 7.18 ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 27.4 (1-/3-CH₃ syn to CO₂H), 30.2 (1-/3-CH₃ anti to CO₂H), 45.6 (C-1/-3), 64.8 (C-2), 122.3 (C-4/-7), 127.3 (C-5/-6), 149.4 (C-3a/7a), 179.1 (CO₂H) ppm, assigned through HSQC; ¹³C NMR ([D₆]acetone, 100.6 MHz) δ 27.7, 30.5, 45.9, 65.2, 123.1, 128.0, 150.5, 173.4 ppm.

1,1,3,3-Tetramethyl-2-(methylsulfinylmethylidene)indane (23). The combined Et₂O extracts containing the nonacidic side-products, as obtained in the above preparation of **10** and separated from the alkaline aqueous layer, were washed with distilled water until neutral, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated. The remaining brown solid (<977 mg) contained mainly the sulfoxides **23** and **33** (3:1) together with a little of 1,1,3,3-tetramethylindan-2-one (**24**). The pure sample of **23** (284 mg, 10%) was isolated through extraction into hot, low-boiling petroleum ether (60 mL), filtration, and concentration. Recrystallization afforded almost colorless needles with mp 134–135 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.41 and 1.45 (2 s, 2 × 3H, 2 × 3-CH₃), 1.51 and 1.73 (2 s, 2 × 3H, 2 × 1-CH₃), 2.67 (s, 3H, OS-CH₃), 6.25 (s, 1H, α-H), 7.17 (AA' part of an AA'BB' system, 2H, 4-/7-H), 7.27 (BB' part, 2H, 5-/6-H) ppm, assigned through comparison with the phenylsulfinylmethylidene analogue [47]; ¹H NMR (DMSO, 200 MHz) δ 1.38 (2 × 3-CH₃), 1.46 and 1.63 (2 × 1-CH₃), 6.47 (α-H), 7.24 (quasi-s, 4-/5-/6-/7-H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 30.12 and 31.94 (2 × 3-CH₃), 31.54 and 32.95 (2 × 1-CH₃), 40.73 (OS-CH₃), 48.54 (C-3), 48.76 (C-1), 122.41 and 122.51 (C-4/-7), 127.70 and 127.82 (C-5/-6), 128.78 (C-α), 147.04 (C-3a), 148.23 (C-7a), 174.13 (C-2) ppm, assigned as above in accord

with the deuterium-induced shifts ²*A* = −0.068 for C-2, ³*A* = −0.021 for C-3, and ³*A* = −0.045 ppm for C-1 as caused by the =C^αD–S(O)–CD₃ group incorporated during a run in [D₆]DMSO; IR (KBr) ν: 2971, 2959, 2920, 2860, 1627 (w), 1484, 1363, 1032, 1022, 968, 748, 677, 505 cm^{−1}; anal. calcd for C₁₅H₂₀OS (248.39): C, 72.53; H, 8.12; S, 12.91; found: C, 72.75; H, 8.25; S, 12.94.

2-(*p*-Methylbenzoyl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethylindane (38a): A round-bottomed Schlenk flask (50 mL) was charged with 4-bromotoluene (0.563 mL, 4.58 mmol), anhydrous Et₂O (10 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar. The contents were stirred and cooled at −78 °C under argon gas cover during the dropwise addition of *t*-BuLi (9.16 mmol) in pentane (6.10 mL), then stirred without cooling for 30 min. After the dropwise addition of acid **10** (400 mg, 1.83 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (10 mL) to this solution of *p*-methylphenyllithium (**34a**) and further stirring at rt for 18 hours, the mixture was poured onto solid CO₂, warmed up, and diluted with aqueous NaOH (1 M, 20 mL). The aqueous layer was shaken with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL) and the combined four Et₂O layers were washed with distilled water until neutral, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to leave the crude nonacidic material (455 mg) consisting mainly of **38a**, **39a**, and toluene (9:1:9). Repeated crystallizations from pentane afforded white needles of **38a** (isolated yield up to 35%); mp 95.5–96.5 °C (methanol); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.34 and 1.39 (2 s, 2 × 6H, 2 × 1-/3-CH₃), 2.42 (s, 3H, *p*-CH₃), 4.10 (s, 1H, 2-H), 7.17 and 7.24 (AA'BB' system, 2 × 2H, 4-/5-/6-/7-H), 7.28 (broadened d, ³*J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H, 2 × *m*-H), 7.88 (dm, ³*J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H, 2 × *o*-H) ppm; ¹H NMR (CCl₄, 80 MHz) δ 1.29, 1.35, 2.39, 3.99, 7.06 (s, 4H), 7.18 (d), 7.80 (d) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 21.56 (*p*-CH₃), 28.02 and 30.98 (2 × 1-/3-CH₃), 47.17 (C-1/-3), 64.32 (C-2), 122.34 (C-4/-7), 127.12 (C-5/-6), 128.28 and 129.34 (2 × C-*m* and 2 × C-*o*), 138.36 (C-*ipso*), 143.50 (C-*p*), 149.99 (C-3a/7a), 201.25 (C=O) ppm; IR (KBr) ν: 2967, 2925, 2862, 1664 (s), 1606, 1480, 1368, 1228, 1209, 1186, 869, 759 cm^{−1}; anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₄O (292.42): C, 86.26; H, 8.27; found: C, 86.50; H, 8.41.

The above aqueous NaOH layer was acidified with concd. hydrochloric acid and was shaken with Et₂O (3 × 10 mL). These combined Et₂O extracts were washed with distilled water until neutral, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to leave a white powder containing acid **10**, *p*-methylbenzoic acid, *p*-cresol, and diacid **40** (ca. 4:2:2:1).

1,1,3,3-Tetramethylindan-2,2-dicarboxylic acid (40): The mixture of acids obtained above (see **38a**) was leached with pentane, which left the insoluble diacid **40** behind (65 mg, 14% yield). One recrystallization from hot toluene afforded clean **40** (30 mg) but led to the decarboxylation of a portion that

remained dissolved. The transparent needles of pure **40** had a mp of 195–197.5 °C (dec.), decomposed slowly on standing at rt in CDCl₃ solution, and were weakly soluble in CCl₄ only as long as they were a part of the original mixture with the other carboxylic acids. ¹H NMR ([D₆]acetone or CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.58 (s, 12H, 2 × 1-/3-CH₃), 7.21 (quasi-s, 4H, 4-/5-/6-/7-H) ppm; ¹³C NMR ([D₆]acetone, 100.6 MHz) δ 28.83 (2 × 1-/3-CH₃), 48.98 (C-1/-3), 122.28 (C-4/-7), 125.79 (C-2), 127.59 (C-5/-6), 150.30 (C-3a/7a), 171.73 (2 × CO₂H) ppm, assigned through comparison with the acid **10**; IR (KBr) ν: 3400–2500 (very broad H–O), 1713 (s), 1291, 759 cm^{–1}; anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₄ (262.3): C, 68.69; H, 6.92; found: C, 69.23; H, 6.81.

40 was not formed from acid **10** with lithium *N,N*-diisopropylamide in THF as the solvent at rt: final quenching with solid CO₂ afforded only starting material **10**.

2-(α-Bromo-*p*-methylbenzylidene)-1,1,3,3-tetramethylindane (42a): A dry NMR tube (5 mm) was charged under argon cover gas with 2-bromo-1,3,2-benzodioxaphosphole [48] (0.12 mL, 0.95 mmol) and anhydrous, EtOH-free 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL). The solution was cooled in ice, treated with elemental bromine (0.040 mL, 0.78 mmol), and kept at rt for 15 min. This yellow solution of 2,2,2-tribromo-2,2-dihydro-1,3,2-benzodioxaphosphole (**41**) [49] was recooled in ice for the addition of the pure, solid ketone **38a** (200 mg, 0.68 mmol), which caused an immediate color change to brown. The tightly stoppered tube was heated at 80 °C for at least 17 hours, then diluted with pentane (5 mL), and poured onto ice-cooled aqueous Na₂CO₃ solution (2 M, 5 mL), which was stirred for 15 min. The aqueous Na₂CO₃ layer was shaken with pentane (2 × 5 mL) and discarded. The combined pentane extracts were shaken with aqueous NaOH (2 M, 3 × 5 mL), washed with distilled water (10 mL), dried over CaCl₂, and evaporated to furnish the solid nonacidic fraction (256 mg) containing a little residual ketone **38a**. Recrystallization from ethanol (12 mL) yielded pure needles of **42a** (173 mg, 71%), mp 119.5–121 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.19 (s, 6H, 2 × 1-CH₃), 1.78 (s, 6H, 2 × 3-CH₃), 2.38 (s, 3H, *p*-CH₃), 7.03 (dm, ³*J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, 7-H), 7.16 and 7.22 (quasi-AB system, ³*J* = 8 Hz, 2 × 2H, 2 × *o*-H and 2 × *m*-H of tolyl), 7.18–7.27 (m, 3H, 4-/5-/6-H) ppm, assigned through comparison with **42b** [45]; ¹H NMR (CCl₄, 80 MHz) δ 1.17, 1.76, 2.38, 7.14 ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 21.30 (*p*-CH₃), 28.05 (2 × 3-CH₃), 31.19 (2 × 1-CH₃), 50.55 (C-1/-3), 117.95 (C-α), 122.10 (C-4), 122.59 (C-7), 127.16 (C-5), 127.24 (C-6), 128.53 (2 × C-*m*), 129.61 (2 × C-*o*), 137.66 (C-*p*), 139.93 (C-*ipso*), 149.00 (C-3a), 149.86 (C-7a), 157.11 (C-2) ppm, assigned as above; IR (KBr) ν: 2987, 2959, 2924, 2865, 1505, 1487, 1456, 1363, 799, 757 cm^{–1}; anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₃Br (355.32): C, 70.99; H, 6.52; Br, 22.49; found: C, 70.88, H, 6.66; Br, 23.17.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Alternative synthesis of ketone **38b**; preparation of [2-D]**10**, **33**, **39a**, **39b**, **42b**, and **43**; FBW ring expansion of carbenoid **12**; S_NV reactions of **12** with PhCH₂K and with KN(SiMe₃)₂.

[<http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/supplementary/1860-5397-10-28-S1.pdf>]

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for generous financial support. This work is dedicated to Professor Manfred Heuschmann in recognition of his unabating consultative support.

References

- Knorr, R.; Menke, T.; Ferchland, K. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 468–472. doi:10.1021/om3009348
- Knorr, R.; Hennig, K.-O.; Schubert, B.; Böhrer, P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 6651–6664. doi:10.1002/ejoc.201000888
Compounds **17** and **18** therein.
- Knorr, R.; Pires, C.; Behringer, C.; Menke, T.; Freudenreich, J.; Rossmann, E. C.; Böhrer, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 14845–14853. doi:10.1021/ja0649116
Compounds **15** and **2c** therein.
- Pilati, T.; Simonetta, M. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C* **1984**, *40*, 1407–1409. doi:10.1107/S0108270184008131
- Knorr, R.; von Roman, T.; Nöth, H.; Böck, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1992**, 127–130. doi:10.1039/p29920000127
- Polborn, K.; Knorr, R.; Böhrer, P. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C* **1992**, *48*, 490–492. doi:10.1107/S0108270191008466
- Knorr, R.; Hoang, T. P.; Nöth, H.; Linti, G. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 2669–2673. doi:10.1021/om00043a060
- Knorr, R.; Freudenreich, J.; Polborn, K.; Nöth, H.; Linti, G. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 5845–5860. doi:10.1016/S0040-4020(01)90440-5
- Knorr, R.; Menke, T.; Ferchland, K.; Mehlstäubl, J.; Stephenson, D. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14179–14188. doi:10.1021/ja8026828
- Knorr, R.; Menke, T.; Behringer, C.; Ferchland, K.; Mehlstäubl, J.; Lattke, E. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 4070–4081. doi:10.1021/om4000852
Compound **17** therein.
- Knorr, R.; Ruhdorfer, J.; Mehlstäubl, J.; Böhrer, P.; Stephenson, D. S. *Chem. Ber.* **1993**, *126*, 747–754. doi:10.1002/cber.19931260327
Formulae **15–17** therein.
- Rappoport, Z. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1992**, *25*, 474–479. doi:10.1021/ar00022a007
And cited literature.
- Knorr, R. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 3795–3850. doi:10.1021/cr030616h
- Knorr, R.; Pires, C.; Freudenreich, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 6084–6090. doi:10.1021/jo070623w
Scheme 5 therein.

15. Knorr, R.; Freudenreich, J.; von Roman, T.; Mehlstäubl, J.; Böhler, P. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 8837–8854.
doi:10.1016/S0040-4020(01)81904-9
Compound **40** therein.
16. Bott, K.; Hellmann, H. *Angew. Chem.* **1966**, *78*, 932–936.
doi:10.1002/ange.19660782004
Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1966**, *5*, 870–874.
doi:10.1002/anie.196608701
17. Bott, K. *Chem. Ber.* **1967**, *100*, 978–983.
doi:10.1002/cber.19671000337
18. Grummit, O.; Buck, A.; Egan, R. *Org. Syn. Coll. Vol. III* **1955**, 270–271.
19. Cram, D. J.; Rickborn, B.; Knox, G. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, *82*, 6412–6413. doi:10.1021/ja01509a055
20. Cram, D. J.; Rickborn, B.; Kingsbury, C. A.; Haberfield, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, *83*, 3678–3687. doi:10.1021/ja01478a029
21. Dietrich, B.; Lehn, J. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, *14*, 1225–1228.
doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(01)95803-4
22. Finkentey, C.; Langhals, E.; Langhals, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1983**, *116*, 2394–2397. doi:10.1002/cber.19831160631
23. Langhals, E.; Langhals, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 859–862.
doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(00)94647-1
And refs cited therein.
24. Fritsch, P.; Feldmann, F. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1899**, *306*, 72–82.
doi:10.1002/jlac.18993060106
On p 79 therein.
25. Staudinger, H.; Rathsam, G. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1922**, *5*, 645–655.
doi:10.1002/hlca.19220050504
On p 654 therein.
26. Harris, E. E.; Frankforter, G. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1926**, *48*, 3144–3150. doi:10.1021/ja01691a019
On pp 3148–3149 therein.
27. Kaufman, R. J.; Sidhu, R. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 4941–4947.
doi:10.1021/jo00146a023
Scheme VII therein.
28. Hull, C. M.; Bargar, T. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 3152–3154.
doi:10.1021/jo00909a037
29. Compound **2a** in ref [3].
30. See the Supporting Information File 1.
31. Oda, R.; Mieno, M.; Hayashi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1967**, *8*, 2363–2365.
doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(00)71607-8
32. Tezuka, Y.; Miya, M.; Hashimoto, A.; Imai, K. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 1642–1643.
doi:10.1039/c39870001642
33. Knorr, R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 6335–6342.
doi:10.1002/ejoc.201100936
34. See p 6339 of ref [33].
35. Knorr, R.; Mehlstäubl, J.; Böhler, P. *Chem. Ber.* **1989**, *122*, 1791–1793. doi:10.1002/cber.19891220927
And cited literature.
36. See the Schemes 2, 3, or 5 of ref [33].
37. See Scheme 4 of ref [33].
38. Schaumann, E.; Walter, W. *Chem. Ber.* **1974**, *107*, 3562–3573.
doi:10.1002/cber.19741071111
Compounds **6** and **9** therein.
39. Jorgensen, M. J. *Org. React.* **1970**, *18*, 1–97.
40. Rubottom, G. M.; Kim, C. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 1550–1552.
doi:10.1021/jo00157a038
41. Nicodem, D. E.; Marchiori, M. L. P. F. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 3928–3929. doi:10.1021/jo00332a040
42. von Roman, U.; Ruhdorfer, J.; Knorr, R. *Synthesis* **1993**, 985–992.
doi:10.1055/s-1993-25986
Method A1 therein and quoted literature.
43. Behringer, C.; Knorr, R. *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1997**, *339*, 184–186.
doi:10.1002/prac.19973390134
44. Knorr, R.; Lattke, E.; Rapp, E. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1980**, 1207–1215.
doi:10.1002/jlac.198019800805
Compound **3** therein.
45. Knorr, R.; von Roman, T.; Freudenreich, J.; Hoang, T. P.; Mehlstäubl, J.; Böhler, P.; Stephenson, D. S.; Huber, H.; Schubert, B. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **1993**, *31*, 557–565.
doi:10.1002/mrc.1260310608
Compound **25** in Table 3 therein.
46. Compound **11j** in ref [42].
47. Compound **18** in ref [45].
48. Compound **5a** in ref [42].
49. Compound **6a** in ref [42].

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (<http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc>)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at:
[doi:10.3762/bjoc.10.28](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.10.28)